

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/701,412	REINHART ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Katherine W. Mitchell	3677	

**All Participants:**

(1) Katherine W. Mitchell.

(2) Randall Heald.

**Date of Interview:** 19 January 2006

**Status of Application:** xaminers amendment proposed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** evening

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*n/a*

**Claims discussed:**

*all*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*n/a*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called for an examiner's amendment to fix minor typing errors. Examiner added claims 3-7 back in as depending from allowed independent claim.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)